Katie Mustard

Junior Counsel - Under 15 Years

CALLED 2014

"She is a talented junior who is able to grasp complex issues quickly with a good understanding of wider..."

CHAMBERS AND PARTNERS, 2026



Katie has a busy criminal practice both prosecuting and defending. She has successfully dealt with cases across the criminal spectrum including sexual offences, gang-related offences, drugs offences, violence, firearms and fraud. She is on the CPS Genral Crime Panel at Grade 3 and the specialist RASSO Panel.

Katie also has a strong regulatory practice, primarily focusing on health care, education and sports regulation. She frequently receives briefs to appear as a case presenter for different regulators but also represents individual registrants. She also has experiencing representing individuals at disciplinary hearings, including in higher education.

Katie has knowledge of investigatory and public inquiry work. She completed an 'Investigative Interview' course with Intersol Global in 2017 – this has assisted with undertaking the early stages of investigation required for private prosecutions. Katie was instructed as part of a team working on the Grenfell Tower Inquiry. Her role involved taking witness statements from individuals at the Royal Borough of Kensington and Chelsea and assisting with disclosure reviews.

Katie has also appeared in cases prosecuted by charitable organisations, including the RSPCA and she is on the CPS Extradition Panel (Grade 2). She has represented Issuing Judicial Authorities (IJA's) at extradition hearings and at the High Court.

Katie is a qualified pupil barrister supervisor and has been recognised in legal directories (including Chambers and Partners and Legal 500) since 2023.

Notable Cases

Disciplinary and Regulatory

NMC v PS, 2026

Katie represented the NMC in a case where the registrant nurse told a patient that the covid-19 vaccine had "caused" their cancer. Following a 6-day contested hearing, the nurse was struck off the professional register.

Read more on BBC News

GMC v KA, 2025

Katie represented a Doctor accused of sexual misconduct towards a young female at the Reading festival in 2023. The complainant – known as Miss A – had given several statements, first to the police and then to the GMC. Through careful cross examination, Katie established a number of inconsistencies in Miss A's evidence. The Panel ultimately did not find any of the facts proved (save for confirming Miss A was vulnerable due to her age) and therefore there was no misconduct finding against the Doctor.

NMC v SB-G, 2025

Katie represented the NMC in a case where allegations were brought against a senior mental health practitioner. It was alleged that the Registrant had physically and verbally abused three different patients who had attended the crisis centre where the Registrant was working. Katie called several witnesses on behalf of the NMC – including one of the patients who had been assaulted. The panel found all the facts proved and struck the Registrant off the NMC register.

NMC v JD, 2024

Katie appeared on behalf of the NMC in a case involving an allegation of a nurse digitally penetrating a patient during an ECG procedure. The Registrant's case was that it had become necessary to

undertake an incontinence check, and his actions went no further than necessary to complete that and did not include digital penetration.

The patient was extremely vulnerable, and Katie was required to carefully explain the process to her in order to persuade her to give evidence.

The remaining evidence also required careful handling due to the nature and was detailed in what is acceptable for personal care between male staff and female patients.

UoA v NF, 2024

Katie represented a medical student during his university disciplinary proceedings.

The student was accused of sexual assault by two other students and the university also brought dishonesty charges relating to his non-disclosure of the alleged misconduct.

Following submissions the Committee found no current impairment.

NMC v Dr CM, 2022-2024

Katie was instructed to present the largest case the NMC has brought to a hearing.

The case involved allegations that the Registrant assessed and diagnosed FGM in children where it was outside the scope of her role/competence to do so.

The matter started with over 1,000 charges. Katie was tasked with re-drafting and scheduling the charges to make presentation of the case more efficient.

The panel's written determination ran to over 600 pages.

Read more on BBC News and Nursing Times

GDC v MK, 2024

Katie represented a dentist who had been suspended by the GDC because of allegations made by his ex-wife, which were also being investigated by the police. Following a decision by the police not to charge the dentist Katie persuaded the GDC that an interim order was no longer necessary, and the dentist was allowed to return to work.

SWE v IF, 2023-2024

Katie was instructed by Social Work England in a case where the Social Worker faces several charges

including failures to safeguard a child who had unexplained injuries.

On the first day of the hearing the Social Worker made disclosure requests regarding a key witness's own fitness to practise referral. Katie was required to advise on disclosure obligations whilst formulating an appropriate response to the panel.

Later during the hearing another key witness unexpectedly withdrew their support. Katie advised on options whilst also preparing a written hearsay application which ultimately succeeded.

GMC v TY, 2024

Katie advised a Dr client suffering with serious eating disorders. The Doctor was cautioned by the police after she had stolen food items from a supermarket. Further incidents were later discovered, and she was charged with several counts of theft amounting to over £7,000.

Katie was involved in the case from an early stage advising on the regulatory and criminal implications and need for expert evidence, which was obtained.

Katie appeared for Dr. TY at early court hearings was involved in discussions with the Crown about acceptable disposals as well as advising on communication with the GMC throughout.

GoC v BR, 2023

Katie was instructed by the GoC in a case involving allegations that the student optometrist submitted false documents to his university to qualify for mitigating circumstances and progress his studies without passing certain modules.

At a late stage during the hearing the Registrant made an application to adjourn in order to present expert evidence to the committee regarding his mental health.

After reviewing medical reports and advising on the implications of an adjournment (which would have allowed Mr. BR to conclude his studies) Katie successfully opposed the application.

The committee found the Registrant's dishonesty to be 'sustained and elaborate' and he was subject to an erasure order.

Disciplinary and Regulatory

Drugs

R v BJ and others [Operation Dextran], 2021-2025

Katie -led by Robert Evans (15NBS)- was instructed by the Crown in a multi-handed drugs conspiracy case involving EncroChat evidence and multiple kilograms of Class A drugs. Following the granting of funding for a defence expert this case was one of the primary cases in which disclosure arguments were being run. After years of litigation three of the four defendants pleaded guilty and received sentences of between 11 years and 18 years.

Snaresbrook Crown Court

R v DS [Operation Sharpner], 2024

Katie – led by Corinne Bramwell of chambers – was instructed by the Crown in a drugs conspiracy case involving EncroChat evidence. DS acted as a conduit for the supply of over 5kg of cocaine. DS pleaded guilty just before the start of the trial and was sentenced to over 7 years imprisonment.

Wood Green Crown Court

R v GN, 2020

Katie represented a client who was charged with cultivation of cannabis and abstracting electricity. Following representations the Crown did not pursue the abstracting electricity charge and the client received 8 months imprisonment for the cultivation of cannabis, which he had pleaded guilty to.

Snaresbrook Crown Court

R v HL, 2019

Katie represented a man accused of permitting his property to be used to cultivate cannabis. He was charged alongside his wife and son. Following representations from the defence the Crown offered no evidence against Katie's client and his wife.

Woolwich Crown Court

Drugs

Extradition

Hungary v LF, 2020

Katie was instructed by the CPS on behalf of the Hungarian authorities. This case concerned a warrant for seven different offences including violation of lease terms and accounting omissions. The issues raised included dual criminality. Following submissions from the IJA to this effect the court

discharged the requested person in respect of two of the offences (noting that his speciality would be protected in respect of the discharged matters by the operation of Article 27 of the Framework Decision) and ordered extradition in respect of the remaining matters.

Romania v LN, 2020

Katie was instructed by the CPS on behalf of the Romanian authorities. The requested person was tried in his absence in Romania and he asserted that he was unaware of the proceedings against him. The issue in the case therefore largely centred around the requested person's fugitive status. Following submissions the Judge found the requested person to be a fugitive and ordered his extradition.

Extradition

Financial Crime

R v RI, 2025

Katie represented an individual who had pleaded guilty to two counts of fraud by false representation and transferring or converting criminal property related to the Covid 'bounce-back loans'. The value of loans fraudulently obtained was £40,000. Katie's client was being sentenced before a Judge who had previously sent other individuals to prison for the same type- and approximate value- of offending. This Judge had also imposed Directors Disqualifications for the other individuals who had committed 'bounce-back loan' frauds. Katie was able to distinguish her client's case from the other matter the Judge had dealt with (which had been to the Court of Appeal) and she successfully persuaded him to suspend the period of imprisonment for her client and not to impose a Directors Disqualification.

St Albans Crown Court

R v KJ, 2024

Katie defended in a transferring criminal property case which centred around Bitcoin investments.

The case involved careful analysis of banking material to understand the movement of monies and also an appreciation of how cryptocurrency operates.

The jury were ultimately hung but the CPS were successfully persuaded not to seek a re-trial and Katie's young client was able to maintain his good character.

R v JH and others / R v SB and others, 2023

Katie, led by Rhiannon Sadler of Chambers, prosecuted two trials involving conspiracies to commit fraud against online gambling company Bet365. The two trials – which lasted around 7 weeks in total- followed a lengthy and complex investigation by Kent and Essex Serious Crime Directorate and the CPS Complex Casework Unit.

The matter was reported in the press here

Basildon Crown Court

R v PT, 2021-2022

Katie, led by Katrina Charles of Chambers, prosecuted a three-month case where the defendant was convicted of 11 counts of fraud. The defendant had offered to value antiques – usually owned by elderly or vulnerable individuals – and instead treated the items as his own, either storing or selling them.

The matter was reported in the press here

Isleworth Crown Court

R v JM, 2019

Katie prosecuted a man who was sentenced to three and a half years for committing bank frauds which totalled in excess of £100,000.

Reported in the press here

Financial Crime

Firearms

R v HF and others, 2024-2025

Katie – leading Jessica Peck (9BR Chambers) – prosecuted a four-handed firearms case where a shotgun was discharged towards an occupied property in a small village.

Following service of firearms expert reports, Katie advised on amending the charges which led to an acceptable guilty plea being entered by the first defendant (who had fired the gun) on day 1 of trial.

The evidence against the remaining three defendants relied on careful analysis of phone evidence and cell site. The prosecution's cell site expert gave evidence over a number of days.

R v AK, 2023

AK was initially charged with offences at Kingston Hospital including discharging CS spray at security guards [firearms offence], causing an evacuation.

During proceedings AK was frequently sectioned and would commit further offences once well. After the third set of offences, she was remanded.

Katie advised on obtaining expert evidence and options arising from this once received. Katie was closely involved in negotiating acceptable disposals/pleas with the Crown based on AK's vulnerabilities and poor mental health.

The Judge commented that this was the most unusual case he had seen in terms of sentence but ultimately bailed AK, deferred sentence and then passed a community order for all matters.

Kingston Crown Court

R v BB, 2022

Katie defended an individual charged with possession of a shotgun and ammunition, which carries a mandatory minimum sentence of 5 years. In addition to the firearms offences Katie's client had already pleaded guilty to being in possession of cannabis with intent to supply it to others. Katie's client pleaded guilty to the firearms offences and following mitigation he was sentenced to the minimum term of 5 years, with the sentence for the drugs matter to run concurrently.

St. Albans Crown Court

R v CT, 2019

Katie represented a client who was facing firearms and drugs charges. He was charged with an offence under the Firearms Act which carries a mandatory minimum 5 year prison sentence and possession of Class B drugs with intent to supply (PWITS). Consequently, the client was facing an immediate prison sentence of several years. At court the Crown accepted pleas to a lesser offence under the Firearms Act and simple possession of the drugs. Katie was then able to persuade the Judge to impose a total sentence of 7 months imprisonment suspended for 18 months.

Isleworth Crown Court

Firearms

General Crime

R v TP, 2026

Katie represented a young man accused of intentional strangulation and two counts of controlling and coercive behaviour against his ex-girlfriend. Katie's client was acquitted by the jury of the two most serious charges. The jury could not decide on the final charge and were discharged from returning a verdict. The CPS chose not to seek a re-trial, and Katie successfully persuaded the Court not to impose a non-conviction restraining order.

Winchester Crown Court

R v NM, 2026

Katie secured a 6-month suspended sentence for a client who had pleaded guilty to harassment and had been remanded in custody following his guilty plea.

Cambridge Crown Court

R v RM, 2026

Katie secured a 2-year suspended sentence for a client who had pleaded guilty to two separate armed robberies in a case where the Crown contended that the starting point for the offences was 9 years imprisonment.

Cambridge Crown Court

R v MC, 2026

Katie represented an individual who was prosecuted by the RSPCA for animal cruelty offences. Katie was able to persuade the Court that her client had a lesser role meaning they received a suspended period of imprisonment, despite currently being in breach of a community order for unrelated matters.

Cambridge Crown Court

R v KN, 2025

Katie prosecuted a former Bar Council employee (who had successfully passed the Bar Training Course) in respect of allegations of stalking against a colleague.

Wood Green Crown Court

R v SC, 2024-2025

Katie successfully defended a police officer in a case involving allegations of controlling and coercive behaviour against his partner, who was also employed by the same police force. The complainant attended trial, but the Crown reviewed the case and offered no evidence on day 1.

Aylesbury Crown Court

Read more here

R v RT, 2023

Katie defended in a case involving a number of allegations of breach of a non-molestation order. Mid-way through the trial new evidence came to light and Katie successfully argued its admissibility. The jury returned unanimous not guilty verdicts.

St. Albans Crown Court

R v JH and others, 2022

Katie prosecuted a three-handed case involving a 'crime spree' of offences committed in June 2019, including a night-time dwelling burglary. Pleas were entered on the morning of trial and the first defendant was sentenced to 4 years 6 months imprisonment, the second defendant was sentenced to 3 years imprisonment and the third defendant received a suspended sentence.

Aylesbury Crown Court

R v ME and others, 2021

Katie, led by Tessa Shroff of chambers, prosecuted a multi-handed conspiracy to rob case. The case involved a group of males breaking into a property in the middle of the night and demanding money from the occupants. One of the occupants of the property was beaten and another was stabbed. The first defendant pleaded guilty on day 3 of the trial and the other defendants were all convicted by the jury.

Basildon Crown Court

R v B, 2021

Katie represented a youth charged with a knife point robbery at trial. The allegation was that threats had been made with a knife in order to steal a designer coat. The complainants' statements were admitted as hearsay. The jury returned a unanimous not guilty verdict in respect of Katie's client.

R v KH and RO'D, 2021

Katie prosecuted two men charged with the robbery of a taxi driver. The incident was caught on dash-cam footage where the men could be seen to use a mobile 'phone charging cable to strangle the driver. The defendants were sentenced to 10 years imprisonment and 11 years, 4 months' imprisonment respectively.

The matter was reported in the press here

Basildon Crown Court

R v RH, 2020

Katie represented a client charged with breaching a restraining order. She submitted written representations to the Crown stating that prosecution was not in the public interest due to – in part - the delays caused by Covid-19. Katie's representations persuaded the Crown to drop the charges against her client.

Croydon Crown Court

R v UH, 2019

Katie prosecuted a Newton Hearing in respect of a child abduction matter. The issue in dispute was whether the 13 year old complainant had been threatened during the time he had been abducted. The Judge found that threats had been made and sentenced the defendant to 28 months imprisonment.

Snaresbrook Crown Court

R v MD, 2019

Katie prosecuted a case involving prolific burglary offending. Following numerous court appearances the defendant was sentenced to over 10 years imprisonment.

Croydon Crown Court

R v DON, 2019

Katie prosecuted a man who stole nearly £10,000 from his mother whilst she was unwell and in hospital. He offered a plea on the day of trial and was sentenced to 15 months custody.

Woolwich Crown Court

R v BT

Katie represented an individual who was accused of possessing a bladed article. The charge was his second such offence and, consequently, he was facing the mandatory minimum six month sentence if convicted. Katie's speech to the jury focused on the ambiguity of the DNA evidence and forensics. The jury returned a unanimous not guilty verdict.

Cambridge Crown Court

R v ES

Following guilty pleas to a number of offences which were committed in the course of a suspended sentence Katie successfully persuaded the court to only activate the suspended sentence in part. Katie's submissions had the effect of reducing a likely sentence of over two years down to a sentence of 18 months.

Crown Court at Chelmsford

Crime

Healthcare

HCPC v IY, 2021

Katie represented a paramedic who was accused of mismanaging medication at a large event. After representations were made by Katie the HCPC amended the charges to reflect that this was an omission, rather than a wilful act. On this basis the charges were admitted, and Katie persuaded the panel not to find current impairment.

NMC v JB, 2021

Katie represented the NMC in a case involving allegations that nurse JB did not intervene when detention officers were choking a detainee at Brook House Immigration Removal Centre. The allegations came to light due to covert filming for BBC Panorama. The panel found current impairment and struck JB off the professional register.

NMC v KW, 2020

Katie was instructed by the NMC mid-way through a substantive hearing which ran for over 10 weeks in total. The charges related to a range of misconduct matters alleged against an independent

midwife. Katie was required to review complex medical evidence and cross-examine the defence expert at length.

NMC v DK, 2019

Katie presented a three week midwifery case where the Registrant faced over 50 misconduct charges including undertaking intimate examinations when they were not clinically indicated. The panel found most of the charges proved and struck the Registrant off the register.

NMC v RB, 2019

Katie presented a case where the Registrant's omissions related to a particularly vulnerable patient, who sadly died. Following a 7 day hearing, which involved evidential issues regarding the Coroner's inquest, the panel found all the charges proved and cautioned the Registrant.

NMC v JD, 2019

Katie presented a case where the Registrant was accused of clinical misconduct as well as stealing a blue disabled badge permit and using this fraudulently. Following a 7 day hearing the panel found the charges proved and struck the Registrant off the register.

Healthcare

Rape and Sexual Offences

R v GJ, 2026

Katie prosecuted a Household Cavalry soldier who received 12 years imprisonment for arranging to rape a 7 year old girl and a 10-month-old baby. The Defendant entered into online conversations with a woman he believed was the mother of the children but was, in fact, an undercover police officer called 'Kimberley'. In messages, he discussed sexual acts involving both children and discussed travelling from his barracks in Knightsbridge, London to Wales where the woman said she was based.

Southwark Crown Court

Read more on the Daily Mail

R v HM, 2026

Katie defended a man found guilty of six counts of indecent assault and three counts of indecency with a child relating to abuse which had occurred between 1994 and 2003 when the victim was under the age of 13. The defendant was sentenced to a total of 10 years imprisonment.

St. Albans Crown Court

Read more on The Herts Advertiser

R v JL, 2026

Katie represented an air cadet accused of sexually assaulting a girl by kissing her. The jury returned a not guilty verdict meaning her client retained his good character. Katie then persuaded the Court not to impose a non-conviction restraining order.

Reading Crown Court

R v DC, 2026

Katie successfully prosecuted a man who was convicted after trial of sexual assault of a child under 13 relating to historic offences. The defendant was sentenced to 5 years imprisonment.

St. Albans Crown Court

R v SMcD, 2026

Katie represented a man who pleaded guilty to nine child sex offences including rape of a girl under 13. At the time of his pleas, the defendant was a registered sex offender. He received a life sentence with a minimum term of 12 years.

Northampton Crown Court

Read more on Northamptonshire Police News

R v PM, 2025

Katie prosecuted a defendant who picked up a 15 year old from outside their house, offered them nitrous oxide (laughing gas) and then sexually assaulted them. The defendant was convicted following trial and sentenced to 6 years imprisonment.

Kingston Crown Court

R v AD, 2025

Katie prosecuted a defendant who was charged with 21 counts of rape and other sexual offences against two 13-year-old girls. The main complainant had given over 7 hours of police interviews. The

Defendant accepted having sexual intercourse with the main complainant on 4 occasions, and Katie was required to advise on the correct charges he should face. Following a 10-day trial, the Defendant was convicted of sexual communications with a child relating to sending explicit photographs to the second complainant.

Harrow Crown Court

R v SS, 2025

Katie successfully represented a man accused of sexually assaulting a 14 year old female at a bus stop. The complainant had filmed part of her interactions with the Defendant and suggested that the footage clearly demonstrated that he was asking her to kiss him. Katie's client had only recently come to the UK at the time of the alleged offending and didn't speak any English. Katie asked focused questions of her client in evidence and he answered through an interpreter. The jury returned a unanimous not guilty verdict.

Woolwich Crown Court

R v IL, 2025

Katie prosecuted an attempted oral rape. The complainant and defendant were both male, met the night of the incident on a bus and the defendant was said to have followed the complainant off the bus into a closed park. The defence was factual denial.

Mid-way through cross-examination the Complainant disclosed that the defendant's penis had in fact penetrated his mouth. Katie carefully re-examined and established the new account and ultimately made a successful application to amend the indictment mid-trial to rape.

R v CF, 2024

Katie represented an operating department practitioner facing two counts of sexual assault occurring in an operating theatre – one against a patient and one against a colleague.

Significant disclosure about the colleague was received. Following receipt of this Katie drafted a s41 application which -whilst unsuccessful -led to the Judge allowing certain lines of questioning.

At the outset of trial, the patient provided a withdrawal statement. She was ultimately persuaded to give evidence, but the jury acquitted in respect of her allegation.

CF received a suspended sentence in respect of the assault against his colleague.

Portsmouth Crown Court

R v JW, 2021-2024

Katie represented a female defendant charged with sexual assault against two teenage girls in an on-going case. One of the complainants did not wish to provide a statement and therefore careful cross examination of the other complainant was required to deal with both matters.

Following submission of a detailed sentencing note the Court were persuaded to impose a community order.

St. Albans Crown Court

R v GH, 2022

Katie defended in a possession of indecent images of children trial which required extensive cross examination of the prosecution expert about technical matters relating to VPN's, torrents and accessibility of images.

Woolwich Crown Court

R v FR, 2019

Katie prosecuted an extreme pornography trial where the defendant was accused of possessing a number of videos depicting sexual conduct with animals.

Maidstone Crown Court

Rape and Sexual Offences

Safeguarding

BHA v WF, 2025

Katie represented the British Horseracing Authority in a safeguarding matter where an experienced stable worker was alleged to have engaged in non-consensual sexual acts and instigated inappropriate verbal comments towards vulnerable individuals whom he worked with within racing. The panel found that WF posed a 'risk of harm' to young female colleagues, and he was permanently excluded from racing.

Read more:

- Mirror
- Racing Post

SWE v SM, 2022

Katie provided investigative advice on a case involving allegations of historic abuse and neglect from 1993-1997 at a children's home.

BHA v JF, 2021-2022

Katie, led by Jane Bickerstaff KC of Chambers, prosecuted the first Safeguarding case brought by the British Horseracing Authority (BHA). The trainer, JF, was found to have breached the BHA's safeguarding rules, aimed at protecting young persons and adults at risk. JF was permanently excluded from racing for a period of seven years.

The matter was reported in the press here

SWE v RF, 2021

Katie represented a social worker who admitted that she had disclosed confidential information about children without a professional reason to do so. After hearing evidence from the social worker, her manager and submissions from Katie the panel found that the social worker's fitness to practice was not currently impaired.

Safeguarding

Violence

R v LW and another, 2024

Katie represented the first defendant in a causing grievous bodily harm with intent (s18) trial. The allegation was that Katie's client (LW) and his friend had been involved in an attack on LW's neighbour following an argument just outside their premises. More than one verdict was left open to the jury and Katie's client was the only defendant to be unanimously acquitted of all matters.

Woolwich Crown Court

R v GG and Others, 2023

Katie was instructed to represent the first defendant in a multi-handed s18 where the complainant had been attacked in a nightclub and suffered significant facial fractures.

The incident was caught on CCTV which showed GG stamping on the complainant's head whilst he was on the ground. The defence was self-defence. GG was also charged with causing actual bodily harm (ABH) to the complainant's friend.

At trial the complainant and his friend were cross examined in a manner which showed that their memory of the night was not accurate.

Katie's client was unanimously acquitted of both charges he faced.

Chelmsford Crown Court

R v B and B, 2020

Katie represented two brothers (aged 16 and 17) who were both charged with causing grievous bodily harm with intent (s18), following a stabbing.

Following representations from Katie the Crown accepted a plea to s20 from the younger brother and he was sentenced to a Youth Rehabilitation Order with intensive supervision and surveillance.

St. Albans Crown Court

R v UN

Katie successfully defended a young client accused of ABH who was jointly charged with others. At trial Katie made an application to adduce the complainant's bad character and this was admitted into evidence. She then cross-examined the complainant about the inconsistencies in his evidence and he accepted that his evidence differed from the account which he gave to the police.

Oxford Youth Court

R v AH, 2018

Katie secured a conviction for ABH following an incident where the defendant had assaulted a local resident who had questioned why he was loitering in the bin sheds at his block of flats.

Inner London Crown Court

Violence

Achievements

Memberships

- The Honourable Society of the Inner Temple
- Criminal Bar Association

Appointments

- CPS RASSO Panel
- CPS Panel (Grade 3)
- CPS Extradition List (Grade 2)
- Qualified pupil barrister supervisor

Education

- BPP (2014) - Bar Professional Training Course – Outstanding
- Birmingham City University (2012) – LLB Law Hons – First-Class with Honours

Recognition

"Katie is simply outstanding. Her grasp of regulatory law is second to none and she consistently brings clarity, precision and sound judgement to every case." **Legal 500, 2026**

"Katie is very knowledgeable and easy to work with." **Chambers and Partners, 2026**

"She is adept at cutting through complex issues and presenting compelling arguments." **Legal 500, 2026**

"Katie Mustard turns around advice promptly, and her advice is succinct and clear." **Chambers and Partners, 2026**

"She is a talented junior who is able to grasp complex issues quickly with a good understanding of wider issues facing clients." **Chambers and Partners, 2026**

"She is my go-to barrister. If there is a very complicated case that needs an assured touch she is the best person for it in my view." **Chambers and Partners, 2025**

"Very impressive, a cut above the rest at her level." **Chambers and Partners, 2025**

"Katie is without doubt a natural at regulatory and disciplinary work." **Legal 500, 2025**

"She is a fantastic practitioner very charming and patient. She gets the job done." **Chambers and Partners, 2025**

"She gives very sound advice and wins the confidence of her clients." **Legal 500, 2025**

"Really helpful; she has a proportionate approach, and kept me informed throughout." **Chambers and Partners, 2024**

"Approachable and provides succinct advice." **Chambers and Partners, 2024**

"Whether presenting ostensibly simple cases or cases of the utmost complexity, Katie provides clear, well-reasoned and focused advice." **Legal 500, 2024**

"A rising star." **Chambers and Partners, 2024**

"Her work was of an exceptionally high standard, beyond what you would expect for her year of call." **Chambers and Partners, 2023**

"Katie is an absolute pleasure to work with; she is very knowledgeable and pragmatic with a keen eye for detail." **Chambers and Partners, 2023**

Activities and Interests

- Travel
- Hiking
- Cookery